Mini Review Open Access

Acuros XB for dose calculations in external beam photon radiotherapy: mini review

Jung ho Park

Suncheon University, Suncheon, Jeollanam-do, South Korea
Correspondence: Jung-ho Park(j-ho-park9@ scnu.ac.kr)

Abstract Dose calculations based on Monte Carlo (MC) often require sophisticated treatment planning stations with long processing time, and this could be issue for many cancer centers. Hence, most of the commercial treatment planning system include faster dose calculation engines for the daily clinical routine. Due to advancement in technology and computing power, it is now possible to implement MC based dose calculation algorithms in the clinical environment. This report summarizes the major findings of various researchers who have investigated Acuros XB algorithm, which is the MC based dose calculation algorithm commercially available for dose calculations in radiotherapy.

Keywords: Radiation, Dose calculation

Citation: Jung ho Park. Acuros XB for dose calculations in external beam photon radiotherapy: mini review. *Trends Journal of Sciences Research*. Vol. 1, No. 1, 2014, pp.26-27

Article

It is evident that accuracy of dose calculations in radiotherapy is crucial to prevent mistreatment of patients undergoing radiotherapy. The estimation of dose deposited in matter is a complex process which involves the interaction of radiation beam with the matter. [1] In radiation therapy, there are various vendors, which supply treatment planning system (TP), and different TPS are more likely to have different dose calculation engines. This is mainly due to the difference in the radiation beam modeling approach among different TPS. Hence, it is expected that the dose calculations of one TPS may not be similar to that of another TPS. This could create confusion for the clinicians if they have to choose one TPS over the another.

In radiation therapy, Monte Carlo (MC) is considered as gold standard for dose calculations in radiotherapy. [1] MC dose calculations often require sophisticated computing services with long processing time and this may be an issue for the busy cancer centers. Hence, majority of the treatment planning system include faster dose calculation engines for the daily clinical routine. Due to advancement in technology and computing power, it is now possible to implement MC based dose calculation algorithms in the clinical environment. This report summarizes the major clinical findings of various researchers who have investigated Acuros XB algorithm, which is the MC-based dose calculation algorithm commercially available for dose calculations in radiotherapy. Google Scholar and PubMed were used to conduct the literature search of this article. Literature search was carried out using terms "Acuros XB" and "Dose Calculation". Several researchers [2-7] have investigated the accuracy of one of the most recent dose calculation engines called Acuros XB, which is considered to be MC based engine. As many centers continue to explore the accuracy of Acuros XB, current literature shows the promising results of Acuros XB as it is validated against the measurements and MC simulations. [2-7] The accuracy of Acuros XB was reported to be within 5% [2] when compared to the MC calculations. Other research groups also reported better results, with accuracy up to 4.4% [3], 3% [4], 2% [5], and 2.8% [6]. It was also reported that Acuros XB calculated results showed relatively high level of agreement in 3D gamma analysis and dose volume histogram (DVH) comparison when compared to the MC simulation results. [7] These results suggest high level accuracy of Acuros XB for dose calculations in simple and complex situations.

The literature review suggests that a good number of studies have also investigated the clinical use of Acuros XB. Kroon *et al*. [8] evaluated the Acuros XB for lung cancer patients and found that Acuros XB calculations lower near-minimum PTV dose and mean PTV dose than the plans calculated by analytical anisotropic algorithm (AAA). Similar finding was reported by Kathirvel *et al*. [9] with Acuros XB producing slightly lower mean PTV dose against AAA for lung cancer. Liu *and colleagues [10]* reported lower plan conformation number and higher target dose heterogeneity in the Acuros XB plans than in the AAA plans. Fogliata *et al*. [11] reported that the AXB predicted lower mean PTV dose in the soft tissue when compared to the AAA for both the 6 MV and 15 MV photon beams. While the target doses from Acuros XB are more favorable than AAA, clinicians must pay special attention to the reduced PTV coverage from Acuros XB calculations in the case of lung cancer as demonstrated by Rana and colleagues. [6, 12, 13] Difference in dose calculations can be dependent on the materials assignment in the Acuros XB and the uncertainty in the CT calibration curve. Difference in dosimetric results of various algorithms may also influence the treatment outcomes of the patients undergoing radiotherapy. Report shows that 5% to 10% lower dose differences may result in 10% to 20% changes in tumor control probability (TCP) or 20% to 30% changes in normal tissue complication probability (NTCP).[7, 14] Hence, it is imperative to perform dose calculations in treatment plans using most accurate dose calculation algorithms. Furthermore, most of the clinical studies on the dose calculation algorithms are done based on DVH results. Treatment plan optimizations are also done using DVH parameters. It is possible to achieve more accurate prediction of TCP and NTCP if treatment plans are evaluated using radiobiological parameters. [14] In summary, the literature review indicates encouraging results from the Acuros XB. This could be used as an alternative dose calculation engine for calculations in photon radiotherapy.

References

[1] Lu L. Dose calculation algorithms in external beam photon radiation therapy. Int J Cancer Ther Oncol 2013; 1(2):01025.

[2] Bush K, Gagne IM, Zavgorodni S, Ansbacher W, Beckham W. Dosimetric validation of Acuros XB with Monte Carlo methods for photon dose calculations. *Med Phys* 2011; 38:2208-2221.

[3] Han T, Followill D, Mikell J, Repchak R, Molineu A, Howell R, Salehpour M, Mourtada F. Dosimetric impact of Acuros XB deterministic radiation transport algorithm for heterogeneous dose calculation in lung cancer. Med Phys. 2013; 40(5):051710.

[4] Kan MW, Leung LH, So RW, Yu PK. Experimental verification of the Acuros XB and AAA dose calculation adjacent to heterogeneous media for IMRT and RapidArc of nasopharygeal carcinoma. Med Phys. 2013 Mar; 40 (3): 031714.

[5] Stathakis S, Esquivel C, Quino L, Myers P, Calvo O, Mavroidis P, Gutiérrez A and Papanikolaou P. Accuracy of the Small Field Dosimetry Using the Acuros XB Dose Calculation Algorithm within and beyond Heterogeneous Media for 6 MV Photon Beams. Int Jour of Med Phys Clin Eng Radiat Onc 2012; 1 (3): 78-87.

[6] Rana S, Rogers K, Pokharel S, Cheng C. Evaluation of Acuros XB algorithm based on RTOG 0813 dosimetric criteria for SBRT lung treatment with RapidArc. *J Appl Clin Med Phys* 2014; 15:4474.

[7] Ojala JJ, Kapanen MK, HyödynmaaSJ, Wigren TK, PitkänenMA. Performance of dose calculation algorithms from three generations in lung SBRT: comparison with full Monte Carlo-based dose distributions. J Appl Clin Med Phys. 2014; 15(2):4662.

[8] Kroon PS, Hol S, Essers M. Dosimetric accuracy and clinical quality of Acuros XB and AAA dose calculation algorithm for stereotactic and conventional lung volumetric modulated arc therapy plans. Radiat Oncol. 2013; 8(1):149.

[9] Kathirvel M, Subramanian S, Clivio A, et al. Critical appraisal of the accuracy of Acuros-XB and Anisotropic Analytical Algorithm compared to measurement and calculations with the compass system in the delivery of RapidArc clinical plans. Radiat Oncol 2013; 8:140.

[10] Liu HW, Nugent Z, Clayton R, Dunscombe P, Lau H, Khan R. Clinical impact of using the deterministic patient dose calculation algorithm Acuros XB for lung stereotactic body radiation therapy. Acta Oncol. 2013 Aug 19. [Epub ahead of print]

[11] Fogliata A, Nicolini G, Clivio A, Vanetti E, Cozzi L. Critical appraisal of Acuros XB and Anisotropic Analytic Algorithm dose calculation in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer treatments. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2012; 83(5):1587-95.

[12] Rana S. Clinical dosimetric impact of Acuros XB and analytical anisotropic algorithm (AAA) on real lung cancer treatment plans: review. Int J Cancer Ther Oncol 2014; 2(1):02019.

[13] Ojala J. The accuracy of the Acuros XB algorithm in external beam radiotherapy – a comprehensive review. Int J Cancer Ther Oncol 2014; 2(4):020417.

[14] Chetty IJ, Curran B, Cygler JE, et al. Report of the AAPM Task Group 105: Issues associated with clinical implementation of Monte Carlo-based photon and electron external beam treatment planning. Med Phys. 2007; 34(12):4818–53.